### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

JEFFREY ADAIR

SUM-100 CONFORMED PARAYSO DE LA CORTE
OPICINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
Superior Court of Angeles

MAR 07 2016

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Florietta Robinson, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Les la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen este citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de le corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y blenes sin más advertencia.

Hey otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar e un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratultos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un ceso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of Los Angeles CASE NUMBER

16K02860

111 North Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Todd M. Friedman, 324 S. Beverly Dr., #725, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, 877-206-4741

	SHERRI R. CARTER	GIORIETTA RODINSON Deputy
DATE: (Fecha)	(Secretario)	(Adjunt
(For proof of service of this s (Para prueba de entrega de	nummons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010). esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons,	) (POS-010)).
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1. as an individual defendant.  2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of	(specify):
MAR 07 2016	3. on behalf of (specify): Barclays	Bank Delaware
War	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	4. other (specify): Bunking e	entity Pego 10

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use 5UM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

**SUMMONS** 

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, www.courbnfo.ca.gov

> American LegalNet, Inc. ww.FormsWorldiow.com

# COPY

Todd M. Friedman, 15a. SBN 216752 Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman 324 S. Beverly Pit., 97725 Beverly Hills C. A. 2022 B. A. C.			CM-010
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman 324 S. Beverty Dr., #725 Beverty Hills, CA 90212 TELEPHOR No. 877-206-4741 TELEPHOR NO. 87	ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Todd M. Friedman, Esq. SBN 216752	number, and eddress):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Beverty Hills CA 19712 Beverty Hills CA 19712 BUPERIOR ROUND: Plaintiff, JEFFREY ADAIR SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles STREET ADAIRS: IN NOrth Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: II I NOrth Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: II NORTH HIll Street MAILING ADDRESS: II NORTH HILL Street CTY AND ZER CODE: LOS Angeles 90012 BENACH MANE: Stanley Mosk Counthouse  COVIL CASE COVER SHEET CHIMITIAN (Amount	Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman	•	
TELEPHORE NO. 877-206-4741  TELEPHORE NO. 877-206-4741  TELEPHORE NO. 971-206-4741  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles  STREET ADMINISTREET  MILING ACCRESS: 11 NOrth Hill Street  Unlimited  (Amount Country Jointer Jointer Jointer  Unlimited  (Amount Counter Jointer Jointer  Unlimited  (Amount Commended is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)  Illiens 7-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be conspleted (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be conspleted (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be conspleted (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be conspleted (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be conspleted (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction (see instructions on page 2).  Auto 10-11  Auto (22)  Unhaused motorist (48)  Other PUPOMO (pernoal InjuryProperty  DemageNYrongfall Death) Tort  Auto 20-12  Auto 20-12  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction on page 20-12  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction on page 20-12  Illiens 7-6 below must be construction o	324 S. Beverly Dr., #725		Superior Court of California
Auto Por Auto Por Autoritif, JEFREY ADAIR  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles  STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAURO ADDRESS Intellect And Address Anders Ande	Beverly Hills, CA 90212	EAX NO. 866-633-0228	County of Los Angeles
Superior Court or CALPONNA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS 1  1 North Hill Street MALING ADDRESS: 11 North Hill Street MALING ADDRESS: 11 North Hill Street MALING ADDRESS: 11 North Hill Street CITY AND ZP COURL LOS Angeles 900 12 BRANCHAMME: Stantley Mosk Courthouse  CASE NAME: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware  CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Until Initiat (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance by defendant less defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less lied with first appearance b	ATTOONEY FOR WHITE! Plaintiff, JEFFREY	ADAÍŘ	MADO
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MALING ADDRESS: 111 North MALING ADDRESS A			MAR 07 2016
MALINER ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 90012 BRANCHINAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse  CASE NAME: Leffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware  CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Undinited Amount demanded Lemited (Amount demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less libed with first appearance by defendant demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less libed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)  Iltimat 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on pege 2).  1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:  Trovial Case Cover SHEET Undinited Counter Joinder (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)  Iltimat 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on pege 2).  1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:  Trovial Case Cover Counter (Case Designation  Trovial Case Cover Counter (Case Designation)  Intervial Counter Joinder (Case Number 6 (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation)  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation  Limited (Amount Gemanded Is exceeds \$25,000 or less lited with first appearance by defendant (Case Number 6 (Case Designation Islander (Case Islander) (Case Number 6 (Case Designation Islander) (Case Number 6 (Case Islander)  Limited (Case Designation Islander) (Case Number 6 (Case Islander)  Limited (Case Designation Islander) (Case Islander) (Case Islander)	STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		
CASE NAME:    Unlimited	MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	Sher	TIR Carter Evacution and
CASE NAME: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware  CVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited	CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 90012		By: Glorietta Robinson B
CASE NAME: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware  CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000 \$1 class)  Itims 7-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 7-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 7-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 7-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be completed (See instructions on page 2).  Itims 1-6 below must be states and in the state of court, rules 3.400-3.403)  Itims 1-6 below must be state state of court in the state state of court, rules 3.400-3.403)  Itims 1-6 below must be state state of court in the state of court, rules 3.400-3.403)  Itims 1-6 below must be state state of court in the state of court, rules 3.400-3.403)  Itims 1-6 below must be state state of court in the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separat	BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courth	ouse	The noting of the puty
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)   Call Rules of Court, rule 3,402)	CASE NAME:		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)   Call Rules of Court, rule 3,402)	Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Dela	ware	01/00/00
Unlimited (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000 or less)    Values of Court, rule 3.402   DEPT:			CASE NUMBER:D K V & O U V
Amount demanded is demanded is exceeds \$25,000 \$225,000 or less)    College		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) ess) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) oper:    Itams 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).	hand		nings.
Residential (32)   Commercial (31)   Commercial (31)   Commercial (31)   Commercial (31)   Commercial (31)   Commercial (32)   Commercial (33)   Commercial (34)   Commercial (35)   Commercial (36)   Commercial (37)   Commercial (37)   Commercial (38)   Commercial (39)   Commercia			ant
1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:  Auto Tort  Auto (22)  Uninsured motorist (46)  Other PIPPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort  Asbestos (04)  Product liability (24)  Medical melipractice (45)  Other PIPPD/WD (1997)  Medical melipractice (45)  Other PIPD/WD (1997)  Medical melipractice (45)  Other PIPD/WD (23)  Non-PIPD/WD (100)  Non-PIPD/WD (100)  Traud (18)  Defamation (13)  Fraud (18)  Intellectual property (19)  Intellectual property (19)  Professional negligence (25)  Wind of mandate (02)  Wrongful termination (36)  Other employment (15)  This case is Is I is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties  b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  1. Auto C22)  Breach of contract/warranty (08)  Rela Property  Other collections (09)  Canstruction defect (10)  Mass tort (40)  Securities illigation (28)  Envfronmenta/Toxic tort (30)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally complex case bytees (41)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally complex case bytees (41)  Insurance coverage (aline (10)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally complex case bytees (10)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally (20)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally (20)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally (20)  Insurance coverage (aline arising from the above isstep provisionally (20)  Insurance coverage (aline ari			
Auto Tort Auto (22) Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (06) Cital Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403} Cital Rule Office (Cal. Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403) Cital Rule Office (Cal. Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403) Cital Rule Office (Cal. Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403) Cital Rule Office (Cal. Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403) Cital Rule Office (Cal. Rules of Count, rules 3, 430-3,403) Construction defect (10) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) Mess tort (40) Securities illigation (28) Fenduct liability (24) Real Property Medical melpractice (45) Cital Rules of Country Medical melpractice (45) Cital Rules of Country Medical melpractice (45) Cital Rules of Country Mess tort (40) M			n page 2).
Auto (22)	1. Check one box below for the case type tha		
Mail (22)   Construction defect (10)   Construction (13)   Construction defect (10)   Construction (13)   Construction (14)   Construction (14)   Construction (14)   Construction (	Auto Tort		
Other PIPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40)  Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Securilles illigation (28)  Product liability (24) Real Property Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40)  Medical malipractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) above listed provisionally complex case ypes (41)  Other PI/PD/WD (0ther) Tort Wrongful eviction (33)  Non-PI/PD/WD (0ther) Tort Defamation (13) Enforcement of Judgment (20)  Civil rights (08) Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) Other complexity (39) Enforcement of Judgment (20)  Professional negligence (25) Judlelal Review Miscellaneous Civil Complaint (not specified above) (42)  Professional negligence (25) Judlelal Review Miscellaneous Civil Potition  Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Employment Petition re: arbitration sward (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43)  This case is V is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficuit or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. Mumber of causes of action (specify): 3	Auto (22)	Diddol/Oloomadomarand (00)	<del></del> 1
DamagerWrongful Death) Tort    Asbestos (04)		r	
Asbestos (04)  Product liability (24)  Real Property  Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14)  Non-PIPD/MD (23)  Non-PIPD/MD (24)  Business tort/unfair business practice (07)  Civil rights (08)  Defamation (13)  Fraud (16)  Intellectual property (19)  Professional negligence (25)  Wrongful eviction (33)  Defamilion (13)  Professional negligence (25)  Wrongful eviction (32)  Professional negligence (25)  Wrongful termination (36)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other employment (15)  Other publicial review (39)  This case is is is not complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial menagement:  a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  Other one-play (1):  Read Property  Emformental/Toxic tot (30)  Insurance coverage claims arising mm the above listad provisionally complex case bypes (41)  Enforcement of Judgment (20)  Enforcement of Judgment (20)  Enforcement of Judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Potition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other employment (15)  Other judicial review (39)  2. This case is is is not complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of witnesses  b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel in other countries, or in a federal court in other countr	Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property		
Product liability (24)   Road Property   Environmental/Toxic toft (30)			
Medical melipractice (45)			- ' '
Other PI/PD/WD (23)  Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort  Business tor/unfair business practice (07)  Civil rights (08)  Defamation (13)  Fraud (18)  Professional negligence (25)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Wrongful termination (36)  Wrongful termination (36)  Other employment (15)  This case	t in the second		
Non-PVPDWD (Other) Tort  Bushness tort/unfair business practice (07)  Civil rights (08)  Defamation (13)  Fraud (18)  Professional negligence (25)  Other non-PVPDWD tort (35)  Wingful termination (36)  Wingful termination (36)  Wingful termination (36)  Wingful termination (36)  This case is V is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial menagement:  a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  Wrongful termination (36)  Wind of mandate (02)  Other number of witnesses e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court of the causes of action (specify): 3			Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Non-PVPDWD (Other) Tort    Business tort/unfair business practice (07)   Other real property (28)   Enforcement of Judgment			types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (B/)  Civit rights (08)  Defamation (13)  Defamation (13)  Fraud (16)  Intellectual property (19)  Professional negligence (25)  Without of mandate (02)  Other non-Pt/PD/WD tort (35)  Divit of mandate (02)  Other employment (15)  Other employment (15)  Other employment (15)  Other employment (15)  This case is vis not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  Substantial amount of documentary evidence  Rico (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Potition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (42)  Other petition (not specified above) (42)  Other petition for specified above) (42)  Other petition for specified above (21)  Other petition for specified above) (42)  Large number of witnesses  b. Large number of witnesses  b. Large number of witnesses  c. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal		(50)	1
Civil rights (08)	Business tort/unfair business practice (07	) Carlot togs broker of track	
Detailation (16)	Civit rights (08)	A STATE OF THE STA	
Intellectual property (19)	Defamation (13)	<del></del>	
Professional negligence (25)  Judicial Review  Miscellaneous Civil Potition  Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)  Employment  Wrongful termination (36)  Other employment (15)  Other petition re: arbitration award (11)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  Other employment (15)  Other judicial review (39)  This case is is is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive			<del></del>
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)  Employment  Wrongful termination (36)  Other employment (15)  Other employment (15)  Other petition re: arbitration award (11)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  This case is is is is not complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive	Intellectual property (19)		
Employment	The state of the s	<u></u>	
Wrongful termination (36)		<del></del>	
Other judicial review (39)  2. This case is is is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties  b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary  b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief  c. punitive  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3			Other petition (not specified above) (43)
2. This case is is is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties  b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary  b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief  c. punitive  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3	Lawrence Control of the Control of t		
factors requiring exceptional judicial management:  a. Large number of separately represented parties  b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3  d. Large number of witnesses  e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more count in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal count for substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief  c. punitive	Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	in at Once If the ease is complex made the
a.  Large number of separately represented parties b.  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c.  Substantial amount of documentary evidence  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ✓ monetary  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3  d.  Large number of witnesses e.  Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court in other countles, states, or countries, or in a federal court f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision b. ✓ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ✓ punitive	2. This case is is is not com	plex under rule 3,400 or the California Rul	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
<ul> <li>b.</li></ul>	factors requiring exceptional Judicial mana	genierit.	ofwitnesses
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.  monetary  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3	a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d carge number	
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence  f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary  b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief  c. punitive  4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3	b. Extensive motion practice raising		on states or countries or in a federal court
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.  monetary b.  nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.  punitive 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3	issues that will be time-consuming	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Remedies sought (creck an trial apply). a. Y monetary 5. Y normalization (specify): 3	c. Substantial amount of documenta	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3	a Remedies squaht (check all that apply): a	monetary b. nonmonetary; de	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
	4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3		
5. This case is	5 This case	ss action suit.	•
1. Will are an environmental cases file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)	5. This case is is in it is a file if	and serve a notice of related case. (You m	nay-use form CM-015.)
Date: March 4, 2016	Date: March 4, 2016	<b>b</b> /	\ /
Todd M. Friedman  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (SIGNATURE OR PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)	Todd M. Friedman		GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
NOTICE		NOTICE	
• Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed	• Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceeding	g (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Code), failed of the may reserve	under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Hallure to file may result
in sanctions.	in sanctions.	an about cognited by local court rule	
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court role.</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all</li> </ul>	Hill this cover sneet in addition to any cover street in addition to any cover sneet in addition to a sneet in ad	seg. of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
the market in the cotion or employed in	the second of the cotton of proceeding	•	· ·
other parties to the action of processing.  Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.  Page 1 of:	Unless this is a collections case under rule	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical purposes only.
Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740			Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10

			3	. 19	Λ	0	a	12	A	
SHORT TITLE: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware	CASE NUMBER	}	5	K	U	Z	Ø	Ū	v	

### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: Item I. Check the

JURY TRIAL? / YES

CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 2-3

HOURS//DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.3.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
   May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
   Location where cause of action arose.
   Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
   Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
   Location where petitioner resides.
   Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
   Location where one or more of the parties reside.

  10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office
- 11. Mandatory Filing Location (Hub Case)

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B. Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
o +-	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
»	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
ropert th Tori	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
f Injury/ P ngful Dea	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

SHORT TITLE: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware CASE NUMBER

Civil Case Cover Sheet Type of Action (Check only one)  Business Tort (07)	Applicable ns - See Step 3 Above
Civil Rights (08)	
	3.
Defamation (13)	3.
Fraud (16)	3.
Civil Rights (08)	1
Other (35)   A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort  2.3.	
Wrongful Termination (36)	3.
Other Employment (15)  A6037 Wrongful Termination  A6038 W	3.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach of Contract/Warranty (not insurance) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 1., 2., 5	1
Collections (09)  A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff  A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case  A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case  A6013 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	1
Insurance Coverage (18)	5., 8.
Other Contract (37)  A6009 Contractual Fraud  A6001 Tortious Interference  A6002 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)  1 2 3	3., 5.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)  Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels 2.	
Condemnation (14)  Wrongful Eviction (33)  A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case  2., 6.	
Other Real Property (26)  Other Real Property (26)  A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure  A6032 Quiet Title  A6032 Quiet Title  A6032 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)  2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)  Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)  Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)  Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure  A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)  2., 6.  Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure  2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure (34)  A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	

SHORT TITLE: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
ew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
<u> </u>	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	and transferences a consideration to the properties accordiomorphism can be a
Jud		☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
uo	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigati	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
nplex L	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly Con	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
		☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
	. \$	☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
ient ient		☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	
cen 1gm	Enforcement of Judgment (20)		2., 9.
Enforcement of Judgment	51 55 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
μδ		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
		☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
, <u>s</u>	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
scellaneous Il Complaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
lane Imp	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
See	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
Š ₹		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation		
	Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions		☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
ane stiti	Other Petitions (Not	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
E E	Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.
Civ Mis		☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2.,7.
		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.
	,		

short тітье: Jeffrey Adair v. Barclays Bank Delaware	CASE NUMBER

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

İ				ADDRESS:
REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.				13669 Beaconsfield Lane
□ 1. □ 2. Ø 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10. □ 11.			11.	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Corona		CA	92880	
				rjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true d for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the
Central Central				ia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local

# PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/15).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

MAR 07 2016

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By: Glorietta Robinson, Deputy

## LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.

Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752)

Adrian R. Bacon (SBN 280332)

Meghan E. George (SBN 274525)

324 S. Beverly Dr., #725

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Phone: 877-206-4741 Fax: 866-633-0228

ı

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

tfriedman@toddflaw.com abacon@toddflaw.com mgeorge@toddflaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES LIMITED JURISDICTION

JEFFREY ADAIR, Plaintiff, -vs-

BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE.

Defendant.

CASE NO.:

## 16K02860

#### **COMPLAINT**

- 1. Violation of Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
- 2. Violation of Fair Credit Reporting
- 3. Violation of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Act

(Amount to exceed \$10,000 but not \$25,000)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices and for Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681 (hereinafter "FCRA"), which regulates the collection, dissemination, and use of consumer

information, including consumer credit information, and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act.

#### II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Jeffrey Adair ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Los Angeles County in the state of California, and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h). Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a.
- 3. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE ("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due them, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c). Further, Defendant regularly provides information to consumer reporting agencies and is therefore an "information furnisher" as defined by the FCRA.

#### III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant reported derogatory information on Plaintiff's credit report. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff still owes a past due balance owed on two separate accounts.
- 5. On or about July 1, 2014, Plaintiff terminated his service with Defendant. However, Defendant erroneously marked Plaintiff's account as charged off.
- 6. In May 2015 Plaintiff pulled his credit report and found that the Defendant had reported incorrectly Plaintiff's account to a credit reporting agency.
- 7. On May 13, 2015, Plaintiff sent a dispute letter to Defendant for the account, requesting Defendant to inform all collection agencies that the alleged debt account was in dispute.

- 8. On June 17, 2015, Plaintiff pulled his credit report to verify that the debt alleged by Defendant would appear as "disputed." However, the credit report did not contain any "disputed" note about Defendant's alleged owed debt.
- 9. On June 17, 2015, Plaintiff sent a copy of the debt dispute letter to Defendant via fax. Defendant received the fax at fax station A770..H323.FAX.
- 10. On July 20, 2015, Plaintiff pulled his credit report to verify that the debt alleged by Defendant would appear as "disputed." However, once again the credit report did not contain any "disputed" note about Defendant's alleged owed debt.
- 11. On August 24, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel sent a notice of representation to

  Defendant. Defendant was again asked to provide verification of Plaintiff's alleged debt. To date

  Defendant has failed to respond.
- 12. §1788.17 of the RFDCPA mandates that every debt collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k of, Title 15 of the United States Code statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692d, and §1692d(5).
- 13. Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
  - a) Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiff's debt (§1692e(2)(A));
  - b) Communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false (§1692e(8)); and
  - c) Using false representations and deceptive practices in connection with collection of an alleged debt from Plaintiff (§1692e(10).

- 14. Further, Defendant has been providing derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's credit history to various credit reporting agencies, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1681a(f).
- 15. Defendant is aware that the credit reporting agencies to which they are providing this information are going to disseminate this information to various other persons or parties who will be reviewing this information for the purpose of extending credit, insurance or employment.
- 16. As a result of Defendant's inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's accounts, Plaintiff's credit score decreased. Plaintiff was negatively affected due to the derogatory items placed by Defendant on Plaintiff's credit report.
- 17. The inaccurate information negatively reflects upon the Plaintiff's credit repayment history, Plaintiff's financial responsibility as a debtor and Plaintiff's credit worthiness.
- 18. The credit reports have been and continue to be disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
- 19. Plaintiff has been damaged, and continues to be damaged, in the following ways:
  - a. Denial of credit by at least one lender;
  - b. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having incorrect derogatory personal information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown; and
  - Decreased credit score which may result in inability to obtain credit on future attempts.
- 20. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of Defendant herein.

- 21. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendant, as well as that of its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, and in grossly negligent disregard for federal and state laws and the rights of Plaintiffs herein.
- 22. Defendant violated sections 1681n and 1681o of the FCRA by engaging in the following conduct that violates 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b):
  - a. Willfully and negligently continuing to furnish and disseminate inaccurate and derogatory credit, account and other information concerning the Plaintiff to credit reporting agencies and other entities despite knowing that said information was inaccurate; and,
  - b. Willfully and negligently failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 23. Defendant's conduct was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in causing the injuries, damages and harm to Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above, and as a result, Defendant is liable to compensate Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with attorneys' fees and costs, as well as such other relief permitted by law.
- 24. Further, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of their intention to report negative information on their credit reports. Defendant then failed to correct the disputed information within thirty days of Plaintiff's dispute of that information.
- 25. As a result of the above violations of the RFDCPA, FCRA, and CCCRA Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

# COUNT I: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

26. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

1 27. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, 2 those actions were done knowingly and willfully. 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the 5 Defendant for the following: 6 7 Actual damages; A. 8 Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations; B. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and C. 9 For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. D. 10 COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 11 Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 28. 12 To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the FCRA, those 29. 13 actions were done knowingly and willfully. 14 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the 16 17 Defendant for the following: 18 Actual damages; A. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations; 19 B. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and C. 20 For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. D. 21 COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT 22 REPORTING AGENCIES ACT 23 Cal. Civ. Code §1785.25(a) 24 Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth 30. 25 at length herein. 26 California Civil Code § 1785.25 (a) states that a "person shall not furnish 31. 27 information on a specific transaction or experience to any consumer credit reporting agency if 28 the person knows or should know the information is incomplete or inaccurate."

- 32. California Civil Code § 1785.25 (b) states that a furnisher that determines a report to a credit reporting agency is not accurate or complete shall promptly notify the consumer reporting agency of that determination and provide corrections to the consumer reporting agency that is necessary to make the information complete and accurate.
- 33. California Civil Code § 1785.25 (c) provides that if the completeness or accuracy of any information on a specific transaction or experience provided to a consumer reporting agency is disputed by the consumer, the furnisher may not continue reporting the information unless it provides a notice to the consumer reporting agency that the information is disputed by the consumer.
- 34. Defendant negligently and willfully furnished information to the credit reporting agencies it knew or should have known was inaccurate.
- 35. Based on these violations of Civil Code § 1785.25 (a), Plaintiff is entitled to the remedies afforded by Civil Code § 1785.31, including actual damages, attorney's fees, pain and suffering, injunctive relief, and punitive damages in an amount not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000, for each violation as the Court deems proper.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

## PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of March, 2016

By:

Todd M. Friedman, Esq. Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff

	<u> </u>
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORN KILED
2	LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	OCT 0 5 2015
4	In re Limited Civil Jurisdiction Cases Calendared ) Case November R. CARTER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK
5	in Department 77 (Non – Collections Cases) )  BY C. CASAREZ, DEPUT )  AMENDED GENERAL ORDER
6	
7 8	16K02860
9	TO EACH PARTY AND TO THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY:
10	Pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California Rules of Court, and the
11	Los Angeles County Superior Court Local Rules, the COURT HEREBY ISSUES THE
12 13	FOLLOWING GENERAL ORDERS THAT SHALL APPLY TO ALL LIMITED CIVIL
14	(NON-COLLECTION) CASES FILED AND/OR HEARD IN DEPARTMENT 77.
15	1. PLAINTIFF(S) IS/ARE ORDERED TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS GENERAL
16	ORDER ON THE DEFENDANT(S) WITH COPIES OF THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT
17 18	AND TO FILE PROOF OF SERVICE, AS MANDATED IN THIS ORDER.
19	2. The Court sets the following trial date in this case in Department 77 (7th floor,
20	Room 736) at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012:
21	
22	TRIAL: POS: TRIAL: 09, 07, 2017 OSC: 03/07/2019
23	• Date: at 8:30 a.m.
24	
25	SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT
26	3. The trial date set forth above is conditioned on the defendant(s) being served with
27	
28	the summons and complaint within six (6) months of the filing of the complaint. This is not an
	appearance date. The trial date may be continued to a later date if service is not accomplished
	Amended General Order – Page 1 of 4

within six months. The parties may stipulate to keep the original trial date even if service of the summons and complaint is not completed within six months of the filing of the original complaint.

4. The summons and complaint shall be served upon the defendant(s) within three years after the complaint is filed in this action. (Code Civ. Proc., § 583.210, subd. (a).) Failure to comply will result in dismissal, without prejudice, of the action, as to all unserved parties who have not been dismissed as of that date. (Code Civ. Proc., § 581, subd. (b)(4).) The dismissal as to the unserved parties, without prejudice, for this case shall be effective on the following date:

#### UNSERVED PARTIES DISMISSAL DATE

No Case Management Review (CMR) and no Mandatory Settlement (MSC) or
 Final Status Conferences (FSC) will be conducted in this case.

#### LAW AND MOTION

6. All regularly noticed pretrial motions will be heard in Department 77 on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays at 8:30 a.m. A motion will be heard only if a party reserves a hearing date by going to the court's website at <a href="www.lacourt.org">www.lacourt.org</a> and reserving it through the Courts Reservation System (CRS). All motions should be filed in Room 102 of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse.

7. <u>Tentative Rulings</u> may be posted on the Court's internet site no later than the day prior to the hearing. To access tentative rulings, parties may go to **lacourt.org**, select "Civil Divisions," and then click on "Tentative Rulings."

#### **EX PARTE APPLICATIONS**

8. Ex parte applications must be noticed for 1:30 p.m. in Department 77. All ex parte application fees must be paid by 1:00 p.m. in Room 102 of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse.

#### JURY FEES

9. The fees for a jury trial shall be due no later than 365 calendar days after the filing of the initial complaint, or as otherwise provided by Code of Civil Procedure section 631, subdivisions (b) and (c).

#### STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

appeared in the action stipulate in writing to a specific continued date. If the Stipulation is filed less than five (5) court days before the scheduled trial date, then a courtesy copy of the stipulation must be filed in Department 77. A proposed order shall be lodged along with the stipulation. The Stipulation and Order should be filed in Room 118 of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse with the required filing fees.

#### TRIAL

- 11. Parties are to appear on the trial date ready to go to trial, and must meet and confer on all pretrial matters at least 20 calendar days before the trial date. On the day of trial the parties shall bring with them to Department 77 all of the following:
  - i. A printed Joint Statement of the Case;
  - Motions in Limine, which must be served and filed in accordance with the Local Rules of the Los Angeles Superior Court (LASC) see local rule 3.57;

Amended General Order - Page 3 of 4

(	
1	iii. A printed Joint Witness List disclosing an offer of proof regarding each
2	testimony, the time expected for testimony, and the need of an interpreter.
3	iv. Joint Exhibits in Exhibit Books, numbered appropriately, and Exhibit List;
4	v. Printed Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, and
5 6	vi. A printed Joint Proposed Verdict form(s).
7	
8	FAILURE TO PROVIDE ANY OF THE AFOREMENTIONED DOCUMENTS
9	ON THE TRIAL DATE MAY CAUSE A DELAY IN THE CASE BEING ASSIGNED TO
10	A TRIAL COURT.
11	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	·
13	DATED: October 5, 2015
14	Swin C. Brunile
15	Hon. Kevin C. Brazile  Judge of the Superior Court
16	Supervising Judge of Civil
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Amended General Order – Page 4 of 4